

STA 610L: MODULE 2.9

RANDOM EFFECTS ANOVA (BAYESIAN ESTIMATION I)

DR. OLANREWAJU MICHAEL AKANDE

INTRODUCTION

Bayesian estimation is often the approach of choice for fitting hierarchical models.

Two major advantages include

- estimation and computation, particularly in complex, highly structured, or generalized linear models; and
- straightforward uncertainty quantification.

HIERARCHICAL NORMAL MODEL

Recall our data model:

$$y_{ij} = \mu_j + \varepsilon_{ij}$$

where

- $\mu_j = \mu + \alpha_j$, and
- $\alpha_j \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, \tau^2) \perp \varepsilon_{ij} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$,

so that $\mu_j \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, \tau^2)$.

In addition to this data model, we will also need to specify a prior distribution for (μ, τ^2, σ^2) , which we will write as $p(\theta) = p(\mu, \tau^2, \sigma^2)$.

Note: this module should be a recap of the derivations you should have covered in STA 360/601/602. Some of the notations might be different so pay attention to those.

BAYESIAN SPECIFICATION OF THE MODEL

We will start with a **default semi-conjugate** prior specification given by

$$p(\mu, \tau^2, \sigma^2) = p(\mu)p(\tau^2)p(\sigma^2),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(\mu) &= \mathcal{N}(\mu_0, \gamma_0^2) \\ \pi(\tau^2) &= \mathcal{IG}\left(\frac{\eta_0}{2}, \frac{\eta_0\tau_0^2}{2}\right) \\ \pi(\sigma^2) &= \mathcal{IG}\left(\frac{\nu_0}{2}, \frac{\nu_0\sigma_0^2}{2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

BAYESIAN SPECIFICATION OF THE MODEL

With this default prior specification, we have nice interpretations of the prior parameters.

- For μ ,
 - μ_0 : best guess of average of group averages
 - γ_0^2 : set based on plausible ranges of values of μ
- For τ^2 ,
 - τ_0^2 : best guess of variance of group averages
 - η_0 : set based on how tight prior for τ^2 is around τ_0^2
- For σ^2 ,
 - σ_0^2 : best guess of variance of individual responses around respective group means
 - ν_0 : set based on how tight prior for σ^2 is around σ_0^2 .

QUICK REVIEW: INVERSE-GAMMA DISTRIBUTION

If $\theta \sim \mathcal{IG}(a, b)$, then the pdf is

$$p(\theta) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \theta^{-(a+1)} e^{-\frac{b}{\theta}} \quad \text{for } a, b > 0,$$

with

- $\mathbb{E}[\theta] = \frac{b}{a-1}$;
- $\mathbb{V}[\theta] = \frac{b^2}{(a-1)^2(a-2)}$ for $a \geq 2$.;
- $\text{Mode}[\theta] = \frac{b}{a+1}$.

IMPLICATIONS ON PRIORS

Using an $\mathcal{IG} \left(\frac{\eta_0}{2}, \frac{\eta_0 \tau_0^2}{2} \right)$ distribution for τ^2 , we can now see that τ_0^2 is somewhere in the "center" of the distribution (between the mode $\frac{\eta_0 \tau_0^2}{\eta_0 + 2}$ and the mean $\frac{\eta_0 \tau_0^2}{\eta_0 - 2}$).

As the "prior sample size" η_0 increases, the difference between these quantities goes to 0.

We have similar implications on the prior $\pi(\sigma^2) = \mathcal{IG} \left(\frac{\nu_0}{2}, \frac{\nu_0 \sigma_0^2}{2} \right)$.

FULLY-SPECIFIED MODEL

We have now fully-specified our model with the following components.

1. Unknown parameters $(\mu_0, \tau_0^2, \sigma_0^2, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_J)$
2. Prior distributions, specified in terms of prior guesses $(\mu_0, \tau_0^2, \sigma_0^2)$ and certainty/prior sample sizes $(\gamma_0^2, \eta_0, \nu_0)$
3. Data from our groups.

We can then interrogate the posterior distribution of the parameters using Gibbs sampling, as the full conditional distributions have closed forms.

FULL CONDITIONALS

- For the full conditionals we will derive here, we will take advantage of results from the regular univariate normal model (from STA 360/601/602). For a refresher, see [here](#).
- Recall that if we assume

$$y_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2), \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

and set our priors to be

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(\mu) &= \mathcal{N}(\mu_0, \gamma_0^2) . \\ \pi(\sigma^2) &= \mathcal{IG}\left(\frac{\nu_0}{2}, \frac{\nu_0 \sigma_0^2}{2}\right),\end{aligned}$$

then we have

$$\pi(\mu, \sigma^2 | Y) \propto \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n p(y_i | \mu, \sigma^2) \right\} \cdot \pi(\mu) \cdot \pi(\sigma^2)$$

FULL CONDITIONALS

- We have

$$\pi(\mu|\sigma^2, Y) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_n, \gamma_n^2).$$

where

$$\gamma_n^2 = \frac{1}{\frac{n}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\gamma_0^2}}; \quad \mu_n = \gamma_n^2 \left[\frac{n}{\sigma^2} \bar{y} + \frac{1}{\gamma_0^2} \mu_0 \right],$$

- and

$$\pi(\sigma^2|\mu, Y) = \mathcal{IG}\left(\frac{\nu_n}{2}, \frac{\nu_n \sigma_n^2}{2}\right),$$

where

$$\nu_n = \nu_0 + n; \quad \sigma_n^2 = \frac{1}{\nu_n} \left[\nu_0 \sigma_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu)^2 \right].$$

POSTERIOR INFERENCE

- Our hierarchical model can be written as

$$y_{ij}|\mu_j, \sigma^2 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_j, \sigma^2); \quad i = 1, \dots, n_j$$
$$\mu_j|\mu, \tau^2 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \tau^2); \quad j = 1, \dots, J,$$

- Under our prior specification, we can factor the posterior as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_J, \mu, \sigma^2, \tau^2 | Y) &\propto p(y | \mu_1, \dots, \mu_J, \mu, \sigma^2, \tau^2) \\ &\quad \times p(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_J | \mu, \sigma^2, \tau^2) \\ &\quad \times \pi(\mu, \sigma^2, \tau^2) \\ &= p(y | \mu_1, \dots, \mu_J, \sigma^2) \\ &\quad \times p(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_J | \mu, \tau^2) \\ &\quad \times \pi(\mu) \cdot \pi(\sigma^2) \cdot \pi(\tau^2) \\ &= \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^J \prod_{i=1}^{n_j} p(y_{ij} | \mu_j, \sigma^2) \right\} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^J p(\mu_j | \mu, \tau^2) \right\} \\ &\quad \times \pi(\mu) \cdot \pi(\sigma^2) \cdot \pi(\tau^2)\end{aligned}$$

FULL CONDITIONAL FOR GRAND MEAN

- The full conditional distribution of μ is proportional to the part of the joint posterior $\pi(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_J, \mu, \sigma^2, \tau^2 | Y)$ that involves μ .
- That is,

$$\pi(\mu | \mu_1, \dots, \mu_J, \sigma^2, \tau^2, Y) \propto \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^J p(\mu_j | \mu, \tau^2) \right\} \cdot \pi(\mu).$$

- This looks like the full conditional distribution from the one-sample normal case, so you can show that

$$\pi(\mu | \mu_1, \dots, \mu_J, \sigma^2, \tau^2, Y) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_n, \gamma_n^2) \quad \text{where}$$

$$\gamma_n^2 = \frac{1}{\frac{J}{\tau^2} + \frac{1}{\gamma_0^2}}; \quad \mu_n = \gamma_n^2 \left[\frac{J}{\tau^2} \bar{\theta} + \frac{1}{\gamma_0^2} \mu_0 \right]$$

$$\text{and } \bar{\theta} = \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^J \mu_j.$$

FULL CONDITIONALS FOR GROUP MEANS

- Similarly, the full conditional distribution of each μ_j is proportional to the part of the joint posterior $\pi(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_J, \mu, \sigma^2, \tau^2 | Y)$ that involves μ_j .
- That is,

$$\pi(\mu_j | \mu, \sigma^2, \tau^2, Y) \propto \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{n_j} p(y_{ij} | \mu_j, \sigma^2) \right\} \cdot p(\mu_j | \mu, \tau^2)$$

- Those terms include a normal for μ_j multiplied by a product of normals in which μ_j is the mean, again mirroring the one-sample case, so you can show that

$$\pi(\mu_j | \mu, \sigma^2, \tau^2, Y) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_j^*, \nu_j^*) \quad \text{where}$$

$$\nu_j^* = \frac{1}{\frac{n_j}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\tau^2}}; \quad \mu_j^* = \nu_j^* \left[\frac{n_j}{\sigma^2} \bar{y}_j + \frac{1}{\tau^2} \mu \right]$$

FULL CONDITIONALS FOR GROUP MEANS

- Our estimate for each μ_j is a weighted average of \bar{y}_j and μ , ensuring that we are borrowing information across all levels through μ and τ^2 .
- The weights for the weighted average is determined by relative precisions from the data and from the second level model.
- The groups with smaller n_j have estimated μ_j^* closer to μ than schools with larger n_j .
- Thus, degree of shrinkage of μ_j depends on ratio of within-group to between-group variances.

FULL CONDITIONALS FOR ACROSS-GROUP VARIANCE

- The full conditional distribution of τ^2 is proportional to the part of the joint posterior $\pi(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_J, \mu, \sigma^2, \tau^2 | Y)$ that involves τ^2 .
- That is,

$$\pi(\tau^2 | \mu_1, \dots, \mu_J, \mu, \sigma^2, Y) \propto \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^J p(\mu_j | \mu, \tau^2) \right\} \cdot \pi(\tau^2)$$

- As in the case for μ , this looks like the one-sample normal problem, and our full conditional posterior is

$$\pi(\tau^2 | \mu_1, \dots, \mu_J, \mu, \sigma^2, Y) = \mathcal{IG} \left(\frac{\eta_n}{2}, \frac{\eta_n \tau_n^2}{2} \right) \quad \text{where}$$

$$\eta_n = \eta_0 + J; \quad \tau_n^2 = \frac{1}{\eta_n} \left[\eta_0 \tau_0^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J (\mu_j - \mu)^2 \right].$$

FULL CONDITIONALS FOR WITHIN-GROUP VARIANCE

- Finally, the full conditional distribution of σ^2 is proportional to the part of the joint posterior $\pi(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_J, \mu, \sigma^2, \tau^2 | Y)$ that involves σ^2 .
- That is,

$$\pi(\sigma^2 | \mu_1, \dots, \mu_J, \mu, \tau^2, Y) \propto \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^J \prod_{i=1}^{n_j} p(y_{ij} | \mu_j, \sigma^2) \right\} \cdot \pi(\sigma^2)$$

- We can again take advantage of the one-sample normal problem, so that our full conditional posterior (homework) is

$$\pi(\sigma^2 | \mu_1, \dots, \mu_J, \mu, \tau^2, Y) = \mathcal{IG} \left(\frac{\nu_n}{2}, \frac{\nu_n \sigma_n^2}{2} \right) \quad \text{where}$$

$$\nu_n = \nu_0 + \sum_{j=1}^J n_j; \quad \sigma_n^2 = \frac{1}{\nu_n} \left[\nu_0 \sigma_0^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} (y_{ij} - \mu_j)^2 \right].$$

WHAT'S NEXT?

MOVE ON TO THE READINGS FOR THE NEXT MODULE!